

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA


\* \* \*

DAVID HOWELL,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
MAIZE W. PUSICH, *et al.*,  
  
Defendants.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00736-MMD-WGC  
  
ORDER

This matter is referred to the Court for the limited purpose of determining whether *in forma pauperis* status should continue on appeal. (ECF No. 10.) This Court certifies that any *in forma pauperis* appeal from its order of dismissal would be frivolous or would not be taken “in good faith” pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3). Plaintiff’s *in forma pauperis* status should be revoked on appeal. See *Hooker v. American Airlines*, 302 F.3d 1091, 1092 (9th Cir. 2002) (revocation of *in forma pauperis* status is appropriate where district court finds the appeal to be frivolous).

DATED THIS 15<sup>th</sup> day of March 2018.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
MIRANDA M. DU  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE